REMARKS

Reconsideration of the above referenced application in view of the following

remarks is requested. Claims 22 and 25 have been amended. Existing claims 1-3, 5,

7, 9-10, 16-19, and 21-28 remain in the application. Claim 30 has been newly added.

ARGUMENT

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claim 16-19 and 21-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Strom et al (US Patent No. 6,985,862) (hereinafter Strom) in view of

Roberts et al (US Patent No. 5,027,406) (hereinafter Roberts).

The Examiner cited column 1, lines 36-56 of Strom as teaching "estimating a

correctness measure based at least in part on the grammar file, wherein the correctness

measure expresses if the output signal is a correct representation of the audio input

signal," recited in independent claims 16 and 25. Particularly, the Examiner argues that

a score computed by an ASR system for an option, given an utterance, which is a

combination of the acoustic score and the grammar weight, is a measure of "if the

output signal is a correct representation of the audio signal." Applicant respectfully

disagrees.

The correctness measure recited in independent claims 16 and 25 are different

from the score disclosed in the cited portion of Strom. As disclosed in the specification

(see, e.g., page 9, lines 20-25; page 11, lines 20-30; page 12, lines 4-20) and recited in

claims 16 and 25, the correctness measure actually tells whether the output from the

recognition system is correct or not. In marked contrast, the score disclosed in the cited

Page 8 of 10

portion of Strom measures the probability of how well an output matches the input

utterance, based on acoustic information and grammatical information. This score does

not tell whether the output is actually correct or not. It only shows whether the output is

more likely to be correct than incorrect. It is well known that even if the score is a

probability of "1" the output may still be a mismatch of the corresponding input

utterance. For example, the ASR system may recognize "tell me stocks" as "tell me

sports" with a probabilistic score of "1." Because the score disclosed in the cited portion

of Strom does not tell whether the output signal is the correct representation of the input

utterance, it is not same as or equivalent to the correctness measure as recited in

independent claims 16 and 25. Therefore, Strom does not teach or suggest the

correctness measure recited in these claims.

Because Roberts does not teach or suggest the correctness measure either, the

combination of Strom and Roberts does not teach or suggest all of the limitations

recited in independent claims 16 and 25. These two independent claims are thus

patentable over Strom in view of Roberts. Accordingly, all claims that depend from

these two claims (i.e., claims 17-19, 21-24, and 30; and claims 26-28) are also

patentable over Strom in view of Roberts. Applicant respectfully requests that the 35

U.S.C. 103 rejections of claims 16-19 and 21-28 be withdrawn.

Additionally, Applicant notices that the combination of Strom and Roberts does

not teach or suggest that estimating the correctness measure includes analyzing dialog

progression. This limitation was originally recited in claim 22 as an alternative to other

limitations. Claim 22 has been amended and the analyzing dialog progression limitation

has been removed from claim 22 and included in a newly added claim 30. Furthermore,

Application No.: 10/036,577 Filed: 12/31/2001

the analyzing dialog progression limitation has been incorporated into independent

claim 25. With this addition, claim 25 is further distinguished from the combination of

Strom and Roberts, and should be allowed.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1 to 3, 5, 7, and 9 to 10 are allowed. Applicant gladly accepts the

allowance of these claims.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, claims 1-3, 5, 7, 9-10, 16-19, 21-28, and 30 are all in

condition for allowance. If the Examiner has any questions, the Examiner is invited to

contact the undersigned at (503) 264-1700. Early issuance of a Notice of Allowance is

respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

/Guojun Zhou/

Guojun Zhou

Registration No. 56,478

Patent Agent

Intel Corporation

(503) 264-1700

c/o Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman, LLP

12400 Wilshire Boulevard

Seventh Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

Application No.: 10/036,577

Filed: 12/31/2001

Page 10 of 10

Examiner: Lerner, M.

Art Unit: 2654